



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,487	09/27/2001	Steve Rochon	86177-15	8843	
28291 FFTHERSTON	7590 05/15/2007 NHAUGH - SMART & BIO	EXAMINER			
1000 DE LA GAUCHETIERE WEST			GREY, CHRISTOPHER P		
SUITE 3300 MONTREAL,	OC H3B 4W5		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
CANADA		·	2616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/963,487	ROCHON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher P. Grey	2616		

	Christopher P. Grey	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS			•				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially r	OTE below); educing or simplifying					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			•				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an ·	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:		•					
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	. *						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the content of the conte	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Attachment.</u> 	, ,,	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Do					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SU	DORIS H. TO PERVISORY	Examiner				

TECHNOLOGY CLAYER 2600

The applicant argues that the cited art does not disclose the applicants claimed determining the amount of bandwidth consumed by packets received at each of said egress queues.

The examiner maintains the rejection, wherein bandwidth is defined as the transmission capacity of an electronic device or system (the speed of transfer). Brewer discloses the claimed limitation, wherein COI 8 lines 50-52 disclose a time wieghted average for the actual byte count for that particular queue. Despite the applicants arguments, a time wieghted average is the average amount of data (bytes) over a specified time. This time weighted average byte counts is in terms of number of bytes, however, by definition the number of bytes is determined over a weighted time period. Hence the average number of bytes over a time period is equivalent to the definition of bandwidth (transmission capacity of a system).

The examiner makes note that the term bandwidth is interpretted in its broadest sense.

Furthermore the applicant argues that the cited art does not disclose the applicants claimed, "determining from the bandwidth utilization information and the amount of bandwidth by packets received at each egress queue, a discard probability...". Brewer discloses calculating a discard probability based on a value for a Prob1, where the Prob 1 is calculated using an avd byte count equivalent to the bandwidth (see Col 8 eqs 3.1-3.3).